

To:  
DEAN A. ERSFELD  
OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL  
POST OFFICE BOX 33427  
SAINT PAUL, MN 55133-3427

PCT

REC'D 20 APR 2005

WIPO PCT  
WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
58915WO006		18 APR 2005
FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US04/32616	01 October 2004 (01.10.2004)	03 October 2003 (03.10.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
IPC(7): A61K 31/4745, 31/496, 31/506, 31/5377, 31/541, 31/551; C07D 471/04, 401/14, 405/14; A61P 37/02 and US Cl.: 514/293, 232.8, 253.03, 218, 214.03, 217.07, 273, 228.5; 546/82; 544/126, 60, 361; 540/575, 585, 597		
Applicant		
3M INOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☒ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☒ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer Evelyn Huang <i>J. Roberts for</i> Telephone No. (571) 272-1600
--	--

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

- ☐ the entire international application
- ☒ claims Nos. 5-10, 14, 21, 25, 34, 38, 41, 64, 65 and 76-90

because:

- ☐ the said international application, or the said claim Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

- ☒ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 5-10, 14, 21, 25, 34, 38, 41, 64, 65 and 76-90 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

They are improperly multiply dependent claims. PCT Rule 6.4 (a).

- ☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
- ☐ no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
- |                            |                          |                                   |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| the written form           | <input type="checkbox"/> | has not been furnished            |
|                            | <input type="checkbox"/> | does not comply with the standard |
| the computer readable form | <input type="checkbox"/> | has not been furnished            |
|                            | <input type="checkbox"/> | does not comply with the standard |
- ☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-*bis* of the Administrative Instructions.
- ☐ See Supplemental Box for further details.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

1. ☒ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
- ☒ paid additional fees
- ☐ paid additional fees under protest
- ☐ not paid additional fees
2. ☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
- ☐ complied with
- ☒ not complied with for the following reasons:
- See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/210)

4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
- ☒ all parts.
- ☐ the parts relating to claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/US04/32616

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO

Inventive step (IS)

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, and 66-75 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the closest prior art, US 6,200,592 B1, only teaches alkoxy, and does not teach or fairly suggest the instant -O-R<sub>x</sub> substituent on the imidazoquinoline.

Claims 91-93 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the closest prior art, US 4,698,348 A, only teaches methoxy, and does not teach or fairly suggest the instant -O-R<sub>x</sub> substituent on the imidazoquinoline.

Claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75, and 91-93 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus find industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in the pharmaceutical industry as immunomodulating agent in treatment of diseases.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/US04/32616

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

**V.1. Reasoned Statements:**

The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75, 91-93

The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims NONE

The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39-40, 42-63, 66-75, 91-93

The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative (NO) with respect to claims NONE

The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75, 91-93

The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative (NO) with respect to claims NONE